UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,966	11/12/2003	Charles H. Pugsley	81614A	9418
	7590 03/02/2007 & KRIEGSMAN		EXAMINER	
30 TURNPIKE	ROAD, SUITE 9	•	WOO, JULIAN W	
SOUTHBOROUGH, MA 01772			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTUC	03/02/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	<u> </u>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/712,966	PUGSLEY ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Julian W. Woo	3731
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTEN WHICHEVER - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MO - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, and by the Office later than three months after the mailing rm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) ☐ This ac 3) ☐ Since the	tion is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This application is in condition for allowar in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of C	laims		
4a) Of the 5)⊠ Claim(s 6)⊠ Claim(s 7)□ Claim(s	t) 1-7 and 9-27 is/are pending in the apprine above claim(s) is/are withdraw 1) 1-7 and 22-27 is/are allowed. 1) 9-21 is/are rejected. 2) is/are objected to. 3) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·
Application Pap	ers		
10)☐ The dra Applicar Replace	cification is objected to by the Examiner wing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept that any objection to the comment drawing sheet(s) including the correction or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35	i U.S.C. § 119	·	
a) All 1. C 1. C 2. C 3. C	ledgment is made of a claim for foreign op Some * c) None of: Sertified copies of the priority documents certified copies of the priority documents copies of the certified copies of the priority documents copies of the certified copies of the priority documents copies of the certified copies of the priority documents copies of the priority documents copies of the certified copies of the priority documents copies of the priority documents copies of the certified copies of the priority documents copies of the priority docume	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
<ul><li>2)  Notice of Drafts</li><li>3)  Information Dis</li></ul>	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ail Date 12/4/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite

# Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 9-13 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 5-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,692,507 in view of Bedi et al. (4,724,839). U.S. Patent No. 6,692,507 discloses the fastener substantially as claimed, but does not claim that the flange formed on the sleeve of the fastener is substantially circumferential and extends into a bore of the sleeve. Bedi et al. teach, at least in figures 4 and 5, a sleeve of a fastener including a flange (34) that is substantially circumferential and extends into a bore of a sleeve. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to include a flange that is substantially circumferential in the sleeve of U.S. Patent No.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,966 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

6,692,507. Such a flange would allow better securement of the male member of the fastener when it is inserted into the bore of the flange.

3. Claims 14-21 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 10-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,692,507. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim, inter alia, a biocompatible fastener including male and female portions, where at least one of a flange of the female portion and a head of the male portion comprises a outer coating coated over one of a non-bioabsorbable material and a second bioabsorbable material, where the outer coating comprises a first bioabsorbable material, where the second bioabsorbable material has a degradation rate slower than a degradation rate of the first bioabsorbable material.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-7 and 22-27 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the prior art of record, alone or in combination discloses a biocompatible fastener including, inter alia, a pair of members matingly engageable with one another, where at least one of the members comprises an outer coating of a first bioabsorbable material coated over an inner core that comprises one of a second bioabsorbable material and a non-bioabsorbable material, where after the members are matingly engaged with one another, degradation of the outer coating over the inner core causes the pair of members to disengage from one another.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,966 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

# Response to Amendment

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,966

Art Unit: 3731

Conclusion

Page 5

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Julian W. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4707. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri., 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM Eastern

Time, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Anh Tuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Julian W. Woo

**Primary Examiner** 

Julian M. Moo

February 21, 2007